The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was $\underline{\text{not}}$ written for publication and is $\underline{\text{not}}$ binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 23

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

MAY 3 1 2002

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/217,595

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

Appellants filed a reply brief on April 29, 2002 (Paper No. 22) in response to the examiner's answer entered January 29, 2002 (Paper No. 20). However, there is no indication on the record whether or not the examiner has responded to the reply brief. Section § 1208.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (8th ed., Aug. 2001) states:

[A]ppellant may file a reply brief as a matter of right within 2 months from the mailing date of the examiner's answer. . . . The primary must then either: (A) acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief by

Appeal No. 2002-0475 Application No. 09/217,595

using form paragraph 12.47 on form PTOL-90; or (B) reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief. <u>See MPEP § 1208.02</u>.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be remanded to the examiner for: 1) proper written response to the reply brief, and 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of this appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

Dale M. Shaw

Program and Resource Administrator

(703)308 - 9797

INTERFERENCE

DMS:tdl

Appeal No. 2002-0475 Application No. 09/217,595

Conley Rose and Tayon P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398